University of Colombo Review

Peer Review Form

Thank you for agreeing to peer review an article for the *Colombo University Review*. We appreciate your time and support. Your peer review offers vital assistance to scholars by offering guidance on how they can further strengthen their arguments, expand their bibliography and improve the structure of their article. It also helps the editors of the *UCR* decide whether the article can be published (with or without revisions) or should be rejected. For these reasons, details will be helpful. We appreciate short paragraphs on each question.

Please summarize the key points of the article:
 Adherence to the theme: Does the article sufficiently address the theme of the UCR, particularly in its introduction and in how it frames its evidence? If not, please comment on how the author can further improve this framing.
 Analytical Depth: Does the article have adequate analytical depth? Does it offer new ideas and contribute to knowledge? Does it make sufficient impact on the reader?

4. Methodology: Is the research on which the article is based methodologically sound? Has

the methodology been explained clearly?

5.	<u>Factual accuracy</u> : Is the article factually accurate? Is it up to date? Are the facts supported by adequate in-text citations? If not, please comment on how the article can be further strengthened in this regard.
6.	Structure and Cohesion: Is the structure of the article well organized? Do the main arguments flow in a coherent way from one to another? Are the sub-sections and links which connect one paragraph to another adequate?
7.	<u>Title and Abstract</u> : Does the title reflect the content / opinions of the article? Is the abstract well written? Does it reflect the key points made in the article and can it stand alone?
8.	<u>Language</u> : Is the article well-written? Does the author display an adequate command of the language (including grammar, syntax, vocabulary, punctuation) for a scholarly publication?
9.	Referencing Style: Does the article follow the prescribed APA reference stye? Is the referencing style consistent throughout the article and bibliography?
10.	<u>Plagiarism</u> : Do you suspect any sentences in the article that are plagiarized? If so, please provide examples (giving page no. and paragraph no.).

11. Ethics: Do you have any reservations about the research ethics adopted in the article and/or do you recommend that the UCR clarify whether the authors have obtained ethics clearance?	
12. Any Other Comments	
13. Recommendation: Do you recommend that the article be published	
 a) without revision b) with minor revision c) be considered for publication with major revision d) not be published in the UCR 	
Signature:	
Name of peer reviewer:	
Date:	
Please indicate whether you want your name to be disclosed to the author(s) or not. a) Redact my name b) Disclose my name to the authors	