



5. Factual accuracy: Is the article factually accurate? Is it up to date? Are the facts supported by adequate in-text citations? If not, please comment on how the article can be further strengthened in this regard.
  
6. Structure and Cohesion: Is the structure of the article well organized? Do the main arguments flow in a coherent way from one to another? Are the sub-sections and links which connect one paragraph to another adequate?
  
7. Title and Abstract: Does the title reflect the content / opinions of the article? Is the abstract well written? Does it reflect the key points made in the article and can it stand alone?
  
8. Language: Is the article well-written? Does the author display an adequate command of the language (including grammar, syntax, vocabulary, punctuation) for a scholarly publication?
  
9. Referencing Style: Does the article follow the prescribed APA reference style? Is the referencing style consistent throughout the article and bibliography?
  
10. Plagiarism: Do you suspect any sentences in the article that are plagiarized? If so, please provide examples (giving page no. and paragraph no.).

11. Ethics: Do you have any reservations about the research ethics adopted in the article and/or do you recommend that the *UCR* clarify whether the authors have obtained ethics clearance?

12. Any Other Comments

13. Recommendation: Do you recommend that the article be published

- a) without revision
- b) with minor revision
- c) be considered for publication with major revision
- d) not be published in the *UCR*

\*\*\*\*\*

Signature:

Name of peer reviewer:

Date:

Please indicate whether you want your name to be disclosed to the author(s) or not.

- a) Redact my name
- b) Disclose my name to the authors